## Study 24

# **Additional Water Level Recorders**

**Public Draft 2013 Monitoring and Analysis Plan** 



## 24.0 Additional Water Level Recorders

#### 24.1 Statement of Need

2

- 3 The data for this study specifically address needs related to San Joaquin River Channel
- 4 Capacity Management Problem Statement and indirectly address certain aspects of other
- 5 problem statements by providing a continuous record of WSEs at key locations during
- 6 Restoration releases to calibrate hydraulic models being used to assess channel capacity,
- 7 fishery habitat, channel stability, and many other aspects of Restoration planning and
- 8 design. They also provide additional data that will help calibrate unsteady hydraulic
- 9 models of the river by recording flow bench travel times and attenuation.

## 10 24.2 Background

- 11 There are currently several active stream gages on the main stem San Joaquin River
- within the Restoration reach. To provide additional data to calibrate the hydraulic and
- 13 flow-routing models, six additional water-level recorders (WLR) were installed in
- 14 2009/2010 at key locations in Reaches 1 and 2 to supplement existing stream gages. The
- additional recorders supply six additional locations where a continuous record of stage
- 16 can be obtained. These stage readings can be used to assess hydrograph translation
- characteristics through the upstream reach and corresponding WSEs can be used to
- validate hydraulic models. Assuming that the stage-discharge relationship remains
- 19 constant over time, rating curves can also be developed at the sites using opportunistic
- 20 flow measurements and correlation with flows at the closest upstream and downstream
- 21 gages to provide estimates of the local discharge.

### 22 24.3 Anticipated Outcomes

- 23 Through analysis of the data collected to-date, DWR expects to be able to identify
- 24 locations where no recorders exist but calibration data is needed. If new locations are
- 25 identified, DWR expects to add a few additional recorders in those locations.
- 26 Data from the WLRs have been compared to routing model results, and adjustments
- 27 made to the models, as necessary, to better match the data. The data will also be
- 28 evaluated with respect to the surrounding topography to understand inundation levels
- 29 associated with the Interim Flows (and eventually Restoration Flows). Improved model
- 30 performance from these comparisons and resulting adjustments to the models will
- 31 provide more certainty in predicted inundation levels, channel capacities, and other
- 32 channel characteristics.

#### 1 24.4 Methods

- 2 The detailed installation and data collection procedures of WLRs are presented in 2009
- 3 and 2010 ATRs.

#### 4 24.5 Schedule

- 5 This is an ongoing monitoring study and recorders will continue to collect data to track
- 6 hydrograph shapes and flow change travel times in the upper reaches of the river.

#### 7 24.6 Deliverables

- 8 WSE data at each recorder site will be included in the ATR. New location data will also
- 9 be provided for each additional recorder, if anything is installed in the future. The
- 10 existing recorders will be kept in place if DWR determines a viable stage-discharge
- 11 relationship can be developed for the sites.

## 12 24.7 Point of Contact/Agency

13 Dave Encinas/DWR

17

#### 14 24.8 References

- 15 Final 2009 SJRRP Annual Technical Report
- 16 Final 2010 SJRRP Annual Technical Report