Water Management
Technical Feedback Meeting

June 11, 2010
Fresno, CA

Agenda Overview

- Comments on Meeting Notes
- MC/FKC Capacity Correction / Reverse Flow Feasibility Studies
- Part III Guidelines
- Interim Flow Releases
- Recapture/Recirculation
- Next Meeting Date
- Public Comment
Comments on Meeting Notes

Madera & Friant-Kern Canals Capacity Correction Assessment & Friant-Kern Canal Reverse Flow Feasibility Studies
Capacity Correction Feasibility Study

- Complete Jun 2010
  - Hydraulic Model
  - Alternatives
  - Preliminary Designs
  - Environmental Field Surveys
  - Modeling – Operational and Groundwater

- Complete Jul 2010
  - Draft Feasibility Designs
  - Draft EA/FONSI
  - Modeling – Benefits Analysis

- Complete Aug 2010
  - Feasibility Study Report
  - Final Draft EA/FONSI

Reverse Flow Feasibility Study

- Complete Jun 2010
  - Hydraulic Model
  - Alternatives
  - Preliminary Designs
  - Environmental Field Surveys
  - Geotechnical Exploration
  - Modeling – Operational and Groundwater

- Complete Jul 2010
  - Draft Feasibility Designs
  - Draft EA/FONSI
  - Modeling – Benefits Analysis

- Complete Aug 2010
  - Feasibility Study Report
  - Final Draft EA/FONSI
Part III Guidelines

Part III Guidelines – Comments

- Selection Considerations
  - Funds should be distributed equitably based on impacts
    - Provide priority to projects that benefit all contractors relative to impacts; or
    - Adjust cost-share percentage based on relative impacts of participating contractors
  - RWA Reduction criterion should be based on relative impacts
  - Friant should be involved in developing any new criteria
Part III Guidelines – Comments

• Cost-Share Agreement
  – The Guidelines limit funding for planning activities
    • Make funding available for planning prior to cost-share agreement; or
    • Allow planning costs incurred prior to cost-share agreement to be used as matching costs
  – Allow contractors to “buy in” to existing projects
  – Insufficient appropriations could limit project planning if only the highest ranking applications are awarded cost-share agreements

Interim Flow Releases
Restoration Flow Schedule

1. Total Annual Allocation
2. Estimated Releases
3. Remaining Allocation
4. Default Flow Schedule
5. Channel Capacity Cap

Total Annual Allocation

- Total Allocation: 1960 TAF
- Estimated Releases: 355 TAF

Unimpaired Inflow (TAF)

Jan. 22: 990 TAF
Mar. 13: 1,310 TAF
May. 02: 1,670 TAF

Declared

Unimpaired Inflow Estimate (TAF)

10%
50%
90%

1960; 355

Preliminary Draft, Subject to Revision
Recapture / Recirculation

Ranking Criteria

- Amount of water made available in Millerton
- Amount of water returned to districts
- Timing
## Summary of Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proponent</th>
<th>Participating Entities</th>
<th>Quantity of Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fresno ID                  | Fresno ID  
City of Fresno  
San Luis WD  
Westlands WD | 25,000 AF                      |
| Kern-Tulare WD             | Kern-Tulare WD  
Unspecified Friant Contractors | 21,440 AF        |
| Tulare Lake Basin WSD      | Tulare Lake Basin WSD  
Fresno ID | 12,000 AF          |
| Tulare ID / Lower Tule River ID | Tulare ID  
Lower Tule River ID  
Tulare Lake Basin WSD | 16,500 AF        |
| Arvin-Edison WSD           | Arvin-Edison WSD | 60,000 AF        |

## Next Meeting
Agenda for Next Meeting

• Date & Time:
  – July 2, 2010 - CANCELLED
  – August 13, 2010 at 10:00am

• Tentative Agenda:
  – MC/FKC Feasibility Studies
  – Recapture / Recirculation
  – Interim Flow Release Summary
  – Restoration Flow Guidelines

Public Comment