## SAN JOAQUIN RIVER



## Seepage and Conveyance Technical Feedback Group

March 23, 20II
II704W. Henry Miller Ave.
Dos Palos, CA

- Introductions and Technical Feedback Group (TFG) Purpose
- Review updated Charter
- Action Item Review and Update
- 201I Interim Flows
- Seepage Management Plan Comments
- Seepage Project Locations
- Seepage Project Challenges
- Information \& Data Exchange
- Next Steps


## Review and Context

## TECHNICAL FEEDBACK GROUP PURPOSE AND CHARTER

## Technical Feedback Group Purpose

- Provide a constructive forum to improve the information exchange, knowledge, and understanding
- Among agencies, water districts, landowners, and Settling Parties
- Regarding Interim and Restoration flows, conveyance, and seepage issues
- Development of prioritized list of seepage avoidance projects


## TFG Objectives

- Convey Interim and Restoration Flows while avoiding seepage impacts
- Identify locations for projects with potential for seepage impacts
- Identify potential projects that would avoid seepage impacts
- Set evaluation criteria for projects
- Develop a common understanding of the process, procedures and expectations for projects


## Process \& Decision-making

- Monthly Meetings
- Focused on Seepage Project Handbook and identifying projects to avoid seepage impacts
- Additional topics and meetings identified and considered as we proceed
- Update Charter in September 201I
- Reclamation and its partner agencies retain decision authority for Program implementation


## Discussion Topics



## Discussion Topics



## Milestones for Handbook Preparation



## Milestones for Handbook Preparation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sept |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | July | Aug | Sept |  |  |  |  |  |

Design Data
Design \& Environmental Compliance


Review and Update
ACTION-ITEMS

- Added MW-IO 74/5 as a priority well
- Completed a site visit in Reach 3; two new wells will be added
- Added data and flow rate to profile graphs
- Stephen Lee activated the voicemail for his cell phone.
- Looked at alternate well siting options near Sack Dam
- Updated CCID well elevations and incorporated them into the SMP and Well Atlas
- Email notification will be sent to water districts and landowners when the final SMP is available


## Open Action Items

| Open Action Items | ID'ed | Due | Assigned to: | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Develop operating plan to <br> incorporate impact of soil <br> temperature on thresholds | $12 / 17 / 10$ | TBD | Green | Need revised due date from Sarge |
| 2. Provide the raw data/report from <br> hand auger field work on the <br> capillary fringe. | $1 / 14 / 11$ | Late | March |  |$\quad$ Burnett $\quad$| Contractor back under contract. |
| :--- |
| Anticipate meeting deadline. |
| 3. Work Plan for additional <br> tensiometer work to develop <br> more data on capillary fringe. |
| 4. Provide Monty and Chris with the <br> excel files that the graphs are <br> based on |
| 5. Add river mile station to river <br> profile to link wells to locations |
| 6. Explore partnering on the cultural <br> resources survey to expand the <br> scope to go out beyond the levee <br> to collect information that would <br> help evaluate projects |
| $2 / 10 / 111$ |
| 7. Identify the Reclamation budget <br> category for seepage avoidance <br> projects and how much is <br> budgeted |
| $2 / 22 / 11$ |

Dave Mooney

## 20II INTERIM FLOWS

Current Status


Rod Meade

## RA RECOMMENDATIONS

## RA Recommendation

| Begin Date | End Date | Recommended Friant Dam Release Necessary to Achieve Gravelly Ford Target Flows (cfs) | Exhibit B Riparian Release (cfs) | Gravelly Ford Flow Target (cfs) | Gravelly Ford Flow Allocation (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuesday, February 01, 2011 | Monday, February 07, 2011 | 200 | 100 | 105 | 100 |
| Tuesday, February 08, 2011 | Saturday, February 19, 2011 | 350 | 100 | 255 | 250 |
| Sunday, February 20, 2011 | Monday, February 28, 2011 | 460 | 100 | 365 | 360 |
| Tuesday, March 01, 2011 | Monday, March 07, 2011 | 550 | 130 | 425 | 420 |
| Tuesday, March 08, 2011 | Wednesday, March 16, 2011 | 900 | 130 | 775 | 770 |
| Thursday, March 17, 2011 | Saturday, March 19, 2011 | 1200 | 130 | 1075 | 1070 |
| Sunday, March 20, 2011 | Thursday, March 31, 2011 | 1450 | 130 | 1325 | 1320 |
| Friday, April 01, 2011 | Sunday, April 10, 2011 | 1000 | 150 | 855 | 850 |
| Monday, April II, 2011 | Friday, April 22, 2011 | 1100 | 150 | 955 | 950 |
| Saturday, April 23, 2011 | Saturday, April 30, 2011 | 1450 | 150 | 1305 | 1300 |
| Sunday, May 01, 2011 | Monday, May 30, 2011 | 1630 | 190 | 1445 | 1440 |
| Tuesday, May 31, 2011 | Tuesday, May 31, 2011 | 350 | 190 | 165 | 160 |
| Wednesday, June 01, 2011 | Thursday, June 30, 2011 | 350 | 190 | 165 | 160 |
| Friday, July O1, 2011 | Wednesday, August 31, 2011 | 350 | 230 | 125 | 120 |
| Thursday, September 01, 2011 | Friday, September 30, 2011 | 350 | 210 | 145 | 140 |
| Saturday, October 01, 2011 | Monday, October 31, 2011 | 350 | 160 | 195 | 190 |
| Tuesday, November 01, 2011 | Thursday, November 10, 2011 | 700 | 130 | 575 | 570 |
| Friday, November 11, 2011 | Saturday, December 31, 2011 | 350 | 120 | 235 | 230 |
| Sunday, January 01, 2012 | Wednesday, February 29, 2012 | 350 | 100 | 255 | 250 |

## Real-time Management for Temperature

Predicted Daily Average Water Temperatures at Management Target
Locations for March 14 Interim Flow Check-in


Dave Mooney

## FLOOD OPERATIONS

## Flood Operations \& SJRRP

- SJRRP and Flood Flows Overlap
- SJRRP - Reclamation
- Flood - Army Corps
- Trade-offs
- High Magnitude - Short Duration
- Lower Magnitude - Long Duration
- SJRRP Provides some Operational Flexibility


## Frequency - Friant Release



## Comparison to Restoration Flows



## DRAFT SEEPAGE <br> MANAGEMENT PLAN

## Comments on the Draft SMP

- Site Visit Process
- Response Time \& Process
- Priority Wells
- Hand Auguring
- Historic Groundwater Levels
- Landowner Claims


## Historical Groundwater Method

Wells having long-term groundwater levels:

- Using spring measurements from 1983-2009, removed the highest $31 \%$ of values, and set threshold at highest remaining value
- $31 \%$ represents the number of wet years during that period

This method removes the influence of high water levels during very wet years


## Historical Groundwater Method

191, GS elevation 108.8


## SMP Revisions

- Living Document
- Continue to be revised as additional information gathered
- Peer review panel

Katrina Harrison

## SEEPAGE PROJECT LOCATIONS

- Introduction $\leqslant$ Today
- Site Evaluation
- Plan Formulation
- Data Collection
- Design
- Environmental Compliance
- Construction
- Financial Assistance


## Development of Risk Locations

- Sources
- Landowner Identified Parcels
- USGS Historical Groundwater Maps
- Elevation Analysis
- Model
- Survey
- Seepage Risk Depends on Flow
- ~1500 cfs Interim Flows
- ~4500 cfs Restoration Flows


## Locations of Identified Risks



## Historical Groundwater



## Elevation Analysis - Reach 2A

- 2008 LiDAR Elevations
- Hydraulic model results at I500 cfs local flow
- Hydraulic model results at 4500 cfs local flow


## Elevation Analysis - Reach 2A



## Elevation Analysis - Reach 3

- Reach 3 Terrain Model - combination of 2009 bathymetry and 2008 LiDAR
- Hydraulic model results at I500 cfs local flow
- Hydraulic model results at 4500 cfs local flow
- January 2011 surveyed water surface elevation at approximately 1880 cfs local flow


## Elevation Analysis - Reach 3



## Elevation Analysis - Reach 4A

- 2008 LiDAR Elevations
- Hydraulic model results at I500 cfs local flow
- Hydraulic model results at 4500 cfs local flow


## Elevation Analysis - Reach 4A



Dave Mooney

## SEEPAGE PROJECT BRAINSTORMING

## Seepage Avoidance Approach

- Hold flows below level of impacts
- Implement project to allow increased flows



## Considerations

- Design/Feasibility
- Suitability to Site Conditions
- Landowner Acceptability
- Cost
- Environmental Compliance
- Project Agreement
- Federal Contracting Process


## Project Types Discussion

- Real Estate Actions
- Easements
- Acquisition
- Physical Projects
- Tile drains
- Slurry walls
- Drainage ditches
- Shallow well pumping

- Conveyance improvements
- What other types do you see?


## Projects Next Steps

- Next Steps for Projects
- Identify evaluation process for different project types
- Determine how to select a project type
- Begin working through challenges

Ali Forsythe

## SEEPAGE PROJECT CHALLENGES

## Discussion of Challenges

- Ownership
- Operations and Maintenance
- Water Discharge
- Water Rights
- Long-term Monitoring
- Cost-share
- Terms of an Agreement


## Discussion of Challenges

- Ownership
- Who owns the facilities?
- Landowner or Federal government or other?
- Operations and Maintenance
- Who operates and maintains the facility?
- Who is responsible if its not operated and maintained?
- Water Discharge
- Where does the facility discharge to?
- Discharges to the river may require a waste discharge permit who holds the permit and ensures compliance with the conditions?
- Who "owns" the discharge water?
- What are the impacts to future fishery and water quality if discharged to the river?


## Discussion of Challenges

- Water Rights
- Who's water is it?
- How do we not induce further seepage from the river or local distribution canals?
- Long-term Monitoring
- What long-term monitoring if any, is needed?
- Who will do this and what access is needed?
- Cost-share
- What are the existing drainage challenges?
- Is the project also resolving a challenge that is not a result of the Restoration Program?
- Terms of an Agreement
- Agreements with individual landowners would be needed to address these challenges and outline roles and responsibilities.
- Would also need to address hold harmless provisions.


## INFORMATION \& DATA EXCHANGE

Patti Ransdell

## NEXT STEPS AND FOLLOWTHROUGH

## Next Steps

- Landowner Comments on Project Locations sent to Reclamation - April 14
- Reclamation to provide Draft Site Evaluation to Landowners - April 14
- Set Next Meeting Dates:
- AprilTBD
- May TBD
- June 2I


## Milestones



## Action Items and Review

- Update Action Items
- Revised Actions
- New Actions


## Topics Parking Lot

- Projects to reduce or avoid seepage impacts
- Disposal of tile drain water


## Contact

- Technical Feedback Group - David Mooney
- 916-978-5458
- dmmooney@usbr.gov
- Seepage Concerns - Seepage Hotline - 916-978-4398
- interimflows@restoresjr.net


## BACKUP SLIDES

## Iterative Approach to Increase Flows while Avoiding Impacts



## Agricultural Practices Method



## Historical Groundwater Method A

## CCID 191, GS elevation 110.9



## Historical Groundwater Method B

## MW-10-93, GS elevation 105.4



## Historical Groundwater Method C

MW-09-85B, GS elevation 120.6


## Drainage Direction Method



## Process \& Roles

- Projects Process Definition
- Expectations
- Procedures
- Timeline
- Major Federal Requirements
- Project/Site Evaluation
- Permitting \& Compliance
- Environmental review (NEPA)
- Endangered species (ESA)
- Cultural resources (SHPO)
- Water quality (Clean Water Act)

