Appendix E. Monitoring Network

2 This appendix describes a monitoring plan for measuring and/or observing seepage-

3 related effects associated with implementation of Restoration Flows. High-quality data

4 inform determining, understanding and documenting the effects of these flows on

5 groundwater levels, root-zone salinity, levees, and crop health conditions in the vicinity

6 of the San Joaquin River/bypass system. This appendix focuses on the 150-mile portion

7 of the San Joaquin River between Friant Dam and the confluence with the Merced River.

8 E.1 Groundwater Levels

9 A variety of monitoring wells have been, and will continue to be, used to collect data to 10 document seepage-related effects from Restoration Flows, improve simulation models 11 used to help anticipate and respond to these effects, and to establish and monitor 12 thresholds for avoiding seepage-related impacts. Additional monitoring wells will also 13 be installed as needed to supplement existing datasets. Groundwater levels in many of 14 these wells will be measured electronically at a high frequency (hourly) and manual 15 measurements will be made periodically to assure the quality of data recorded by the 16 instruments. Generally weekly/monthly manual groundwater level measurements will be 17 made, with more frequent weekly measurements made in priority wells. Several key 18 wells will be telemetered, transmitted real-time to a central database, and posted on 19 CDEC, with links from the SJRRP website (restores jr.net). A description of the three 20 types of monitoring wells that will be used and real-time wells established to date is 21 provided below.

22 The Monitoring Well Atlas available and updated periodically on the SJRRP website

23 (restoresjr.net) describes the locations of the wells in the SJRRP monitoring well

- 24 network. Attachment 1 to Appendix E provides the SJRRP's groundwater level
- 25 monitoring and QA/QC procedures.

26 E.1.1 SJRRP-Installed Wells

- 27 The typical construction of SJRRP-installed monitoring wells is shown in Figure E-1.
- 28 The construction of specific wells may vary depending on site-specific needs. For
- 29 example, flush-mounted, traffic-rated vaults may be required for wells located within a
- 30 roadway.





3

Figure E-1. Typical Construction for SJRRP-Installed Monitoring Wells

4 Drive-point wells have been and will continue to be installed in areas adjacent to the river

5 where the water table is typically within about 10 feet of the land surface, pending

6 landowner/stakeholder agreements. Similar to the existing off-river monitoring wells;

7 these drive-point wells would allow measurement of water-level response to Restoration

8 flows in areas adjacent to the river to inform the likely areal extent of seepage-related

9 effects. Drive-point wells also can be installed near the river in areas inaccessible to

10 large drilling rigs. Water levels will be recorded manually on approximately a monthly

11 or weekly schedule, and a subset of drive-point wells will be instrumented to record high-

12 frequency (hourly) measurements. Figure E-2 shows locations of the existing SJRRP and

13 stakeholder monitoring wells, including drive-point wells installed thus far.



1

2 3

Figure E-2. Locations of Existing Groundwater Monitoring Wells

4 E.1.2 Stakeholder Monitoring Wells

5 A subset of existing, mostly shallow monitoring wells owned by CCID are instrumented

6 to record hourly groundwater level response to Restoration flows in off-river areas

7 adjacent to the river. The SJRRP also makes manual groundwater level measurements in

8 a subset of CCID wells. Monitoring of off-river wells will improve the understanding of

9 the lateral extent of seepage-related effects and, in conjunction with regional simulation

10 results, will indicate whether a narrowing or widening of the groundwater-level

11 monitoring corridor will be necessary for the future.

12 E.1.3 Priority Wells

- 13 Groundwater levels in a subset of the available groundwater level monitoring network
- 14 wells appear to correlate well with the groundwater response to San Joaquin River flows.
- 15 These "priority" wells are used by Reclamation to guide operational decisions. The
- 16 SJRRP makes weekly measurements in these wells and posts a "Weekly Groundwater
- 17 Report" with the measurements from these wells at the end of each week. This report is
- 18 posted to the SJRRP website (restoresjr.net). A sample report is shown in Figure E-3.
- 19 Figure E-4 shows the locations of wells and flow gages listed in the weekly report. The
- 20 SJRRP evaluates the most recent measurement in priority wells when conducting a Flow
- 21 Bench Evaluation or Daily Seepage Evaluation.
- 22 When a groundwater seepage project is completed, whether it is a realty action or
- 23 physical seepage project, Reclamation will no longer reduce Restoration Flows based on
- 24 groundwater levels in those wells. The seepage project has protected the property. Any

Seepage Management Plan

- 1 priority wells that may have existed on that property will be replaced by the next most
- 2 priority well, regardless of where it is located.
- 3

SAN JOAQUIN RIVER RESTORATION PROGRAM Weekly Groundwater Report - Week Ending January 14, 2016



REACH 2A							PRELIMINARY SAN JOAQUIN RIVER FLOW DATA							
Well ID	Date	DTW_GS (ft)	Well Threshold (ft BGS)	Field Threshold (ft BGS)	River Mile	Bank	Location Friant Dam	Station_ID MIL	Reach	River Mile 267.6	Flow (cfs) 6115	Date 1/11/2017	Time 24hr av	
FA-9*	1/10/2017	4.05	7.2	7.0	218.2	Left	Gravelly Ford	GRF	2A	207.0	5741	1/11/2017	24nr av 1200	
MW-09-47*	1/10/2017	2.59	7.2	7.0	218.2	Right	SJR below BIF	SJB	2B	216.0	514	1/12/2017	1200	
MA-4*	1/10/2017	4.48	8.5	7.0	217.2	Right	SJR near Mendota	11254000	3	202.1	20	1/11/2017	1200	
MW-09-49B*	1/10/2017	NR	4.8	6.5	217.2	Left	SJR near Dos Palos	SDP	4	181.5	0	1/11/2017	24hr av	
							SJR at Fremont Ford	11261500	5	125.1	7710	1/12/2017	1200	
			REACH 2B				SJR above Merced River	11273400	5	118.3	1360	1/9/2017	1200	
Well ID	Date	DTW_GS (ft)	Well Threshold (ft BGS)	Field Threshold (ft BGS)	River Mile	Bank								
MW-09-54B	1/10/2017	24.30	12.4	10.0	211.8	Right								
MW-09-55B	1/10/2017	DRY	6.7	6.0	211.8	Left	REAL TIME GROUNDWATER MONITOR WELL INFORMATION							
							Well ID	CDEC_ID		W	/eblink			
			REACH 3				MW-09-49B	49B	http://cdec	4gov.water.ca.	gov/cgi-progs/	queryF?s=49b		
Well ID	Date	DTW_GS (ft)	Well Threshold	Field Threshold (ft BGS)	River Mile	Bank	PZ-09-R3-7	R37	http://cdec	.water.ca.gov/	cgi-progs/quer	vF?s=r37		
			(ft BGS)				MW-10-75	W75		.water.ca.gov/				
PZ-09-R3-5	1/12/2017	NR	7.2	6.0	197.8	Right	MW-10-89	W89	http://cdec.water.ca.gov/cgi-progs/queryF?s=w89					
MW-12-191	1/12/2017	NR	11.7	9.0	196.6	Right	MW-10-92	W92	http://cdec.water.ca.gov/cgi-progs/gueryF?s=w92					
PZ-09-R3-7	1/11/2017	10.79	6.2	5.5	199.2	Right	MW-11-130	130		4gov.water.ca.				
MW-10-75	1/12/2017	19.77	6.6	6.3	187.0	Left						<u> </u>		
			REACH 4A				NOTES							
Well ID	Date	DTW_GS (ft)	Well Threshold (ft BGS)	Field Threshold (ft BGS)	River Mile	Bank	All data are providential and are subject to revision							
MW-14-208	1/12/2017	NR	7.1	5.0	169.7	Right								
MW-10-89	1/12/2017	17.87	7.4	4.0	175.4	Right	KEY							
MW-10-92*	1/12/2017	9.29	8.2	5.6	170.0	Left	TBD: To be determined * Protected by existing interceptor drain							
							NR: No Reading (Well Inac	cessible)		NA: Not App	licable			
							DTW GS: Depth to Groundwater from Ground Surface							
							CDEC: California Data Exch	ange Center						

ft BGS: feet below ground surface BRT: Below Rating Table

4 5 6

Figure E-3. Sample Weekly Groundwater Report



2 3

1

Figure E-4. Priority Monitoring Locations

4 E.1.4 Cross-River Transects

5 Multi-depth monitoring well transects that cross the San Joaquin River will be used to 6 measure near-river effects of Restoration Flows. Specifically, these wells will measure 7 and/or allow calculation of the following:

- 8 Depth to the groundwater table and water-table elevation;
- 9 The horizontal hydraulic gradient (slope) of the groundwater table toward or away
 10 from the river/bypass; and
- 11 The vertical hydraulic gradient (indicating upward or downward flow).
- 12 The design for the cross-river well transects includes transects spaced at about every 8 to
- 13 10 miles along the river from Friant Dam to the confluence with the Merced River.
- 14 Figure E-5 shows cross-river transect wells installed thus far by the SJRRP and
- 15 stakeholders; the *Monitoring Well Atlas* includes additional information for the wells in
- 16 these transects.

1	
2	
3	
4	Page left blank intentionally.



Figure E-5. Groundwater Monitoring Well Network

San Joaquin River Restoration Program

Page left blank intentionally.

- 1 Typically, within each transect, four to six shallow wells will be paired with one to two
- 2 deeper wells (Figure E-6). These wells will range in depth from about 15 to 80 feet. A
- 3 staff gage will be co-located in the river at each transect; most or all staff gages will be
- 4 instrumented to record river stage at the same time interval as groundwater levels.



8 E.2 Real-Time Wells

9 Eight wells in the Restoration area currently are equipped for real-time transmission of 10 groundwater level data to a central database. Data from these wells are automatically 11 transmitted and uploaded to the CDEC website. These real-time data are available to the 12 public on this site, and will be used by the SJRRP to help make water management 13 decisions during Restoration Flows. As additional wells are installed and more is learned 14 during these Flows, more real-time sites will be established.

- 15 In a real-time well (Figure E-7), the well and data logger are located in a vault
- 16 (foreground of Figure E-7). Power is supplied by a solar panel on a pole and data is
- 17 transmitted via satellite using the antenna on top of a pole.



Figure E-7. Typical Real-Time Monitoring Wells

4 E.3 Shallow Groundwater and Soil Salinity

5 An increase in shallow groundwater levels due to seepage-related conditions may cause soil salinity to increase; therefore, it is an important component of the monitoring plan. 6 7 Shallow groundwater conditions cause soil salinity to increase in the shallow subsurface 8 by way of evapotranspiration. Plant transpiration, or water consumption, increases 9 salinity by selectively filtering various salts from groundwater and irrigation water prior 10 to consumption. Evaporation occurs not only from plant and land surfaces, but also from 11 the subsurface, leaving behind previously dissolved salts. Subsurface evaporation occurs 12 where the water table is sufficiently close to the ground surface and has been estimated to 13 occur to a depth of seven feet below land surface west of the San Joaquin River in the 14 southern part of the Restoration Area (Belitz and others, 1993).

Shallow subsurface salinity likely will be monitored using the two methods describedbelow, though other methods may be employed.

17 E.3.1 Soil-Water Extracts

1 2

3

- 18 Analyses of soil-water extracts will be used to define baseline conditions in shallow
- 19 groundwater areas potentially susceptible to seepage effects and to check the calibration
- 20 of meters to be used thereafter to detect changes in salinity (described below). A soil-
- 21 water extract is defined herein as a saturation extract, or the solution extracted from a

- 1 saturated soil paste prepared by adding water to the soil until it reaches a defined
- 2 consistency.
- 3 Soil cores of the upper 30 inches, at a minimum, will be collected in shallow groundwater
- 4 areas, and the extractions will be done in a laboratory. The electrical conductivity of the
- 5 soil-water extracts (ECe), which is a standard measurement in salinity/crop response
- 6 (ASCE Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice No.71: Agricultural Salinity
- 7 Assessment and Management, pg 271), will then be measured. Because this is a labor-
- 8 intensive process, most of the salinity monitoring will, thereafter, be done using
- 9 electromagnetic surveys, described below.

10 E.3.2 Electromagnetic Surveys

- 11 Electromagnetic (EM) surveys will be conducted using EM meters capable of measuring
- 12 the bulk electrical conductivity (EC) of various depth intervals in the soil column.
- 13 Initially, EM measurements will be taken simultaneously with soil cores used for ECe
- 14 analyses. The EM-derived EC will be compared to the ECe from soil-water extracts, and
- 15 the EM meters will be calibrated to match the ECe. Thereafter, the EM meters can be
- 16 used to rapidly estimate changes in root-zone salinity at greatly reduced cost. Occasional
- 17 soil cores will be collected to obtain ECe values for re-evaluation of meter calibration.
- 18 Twenty baseline soil salinity sites were established in the spring of 2013. These sites
- 19 complement the existing 117 sites established in the spring of 2010, 2011, and 2012.
- 20 2013 was the last scheduled year of the baseline soil salinity sampling program. In 2014,
- 21 only a few selected sites and sites requested by landowners will be sampled and/or EM
- surveyed. Details of the sampling sites are included in Appendix E, Attachment 2.
- 23 The above application of EM surveys focuses on the upper 30 inches of the soil profile,
- an important part of the root zone. However, much can be learned by looking deeper. A
- 25 normal soil salinity profile is characterized by increased salinity with depth. An inverted
- soil salinity profile, in which the soil surface layers are more saline than layers deeper in
- the root zone, is indicative of root-zone salinization likely caused by a shallow water
- 28 table. Multiple depth intervals will therefore be measured using the EM meters to detect
- 29 development or worsening of inverted salinity profiles.

30 E.4 Visual Observations

- 31 Visual observations associated with seepage effects from Restoration Flows may fall into
- many categories, but two primary categories of observations are anticipated: those having
 to do with seepage through levees, and those involving deterioration of crop health.
- Landowners may contact the SJRRP through the Seepage Hotline via phone or email to
- 35 report observations.
- Standing water, boils, and piping are all signs of seepage through levees, and may
 compromise the short- or long-term integrity of the levee.
- 38 Landowner reports of deteriorating crop health may indicate an excessive rise in the
- 39 water table and/or increasing root-zone salinity. A Seepage Hotline call reporting this

San Joaquin River Restoration Program

- 1 would trigger a site visit and a response action as described in Sections 8 and 9 of the
- 2 Plan.